# DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber HB1702				
	HouseofOri	gin Introduced Substitute Engrossed			
	SecondHous	e $\Box$ InCom mittee $\Box$ Substitute $\boxtimes$ Enrolled			
2.	Patron	Purkey			
3.0	Committee	PassedBothHouses			
4.	Title	Penaltyfordrivingwhileintoxicated(DUI)			

## 5. Summary/Purpose:

§19.2-270currentlydoesnotnote afineforpersonsforthefirstDUIconviction,exceptwhatisimposed fromaClass1misdemeanorconviction.However,thisbillimposesa\$250minimumfine.Inaddition, anypersoncurrentlyconvictedofasecondoffensecommittedwithintenyearsafte rthefirstoffenseshall befinedupto\$200.HB1702increasesthesecondoffensefineby\$300,to\$500.Also,anyperson convictedofthreeormoreoffenses,shallbefinedamandatoryminimumfineof\$1,000.Noneofthe notedfineincreaseswillbe allowedtobesuspendedbythecourt.

## 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: Final, see Item8.

RevenueImpact: FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03	200005		1 0000
2003-04	\$750,045	0	NGF
2004-05	\$750,045	0	NGF

## 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.

## 8. Fiscalimplications:

6b.

 $\label{eq:according} According to the Executive Office of the Supreme Court, the average fine (the first eleven months of 2002) for a first conviction of DUI is $231, and for a second offense it is $355. First offense fines would increase by at least $19p erconviction, and second offense fines would increase by at least $145 per conviction. Based on recent data, if the number of convictions for first offenses for one year continues at 22,900 (22,900 X $19 = $435,100) and second offense convictions equal 3,900 (3,900 X $145 = $565,500) and all fines are paid, then total anticipated additional revenue could be $1,000,600. However, collection rates are estimated to be about 75 percent, or $750,045. Sufficient data is not available to accurately project third offense fines, but an ecdot al evidence suggests that the sefines could increase by several thous and dollar sper conviction.$ 

Funds collected from fines are deposited to the Literary Fund.

## 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected: Theco urts.

## 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

## 11. Othercomments: SeeSB1019, which is similar.

Date: 03/04/03/jlm Document:( DPB G:\Leg03 \HB1702ER.DOC)