DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· HB1592			
	HouseofOrigi	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	☐ InCo mmittee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	Louderback			
3.Committee Gener		GeneralLaws			
1.	Title	DepartmentofGeneralServices; VirginiaDistributionCenter.			

- **5. Summary/Purpose:** This proposal would require the Department of General Services to cease operation of the Virginia Distribution Center (VDC) by July 31, 2003.
- 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:

6a. ExpenditureImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
			1 unu
2002-03	\$0	0.00	
2003-04	\$15,925,975	(25.00)	0100
2003-04	\$5,051,425	0.00	VariousNGFsources
2004-05	\$5,202,969	0.00	0100
2004-05	\$5,202,968	0.00	VariousNGFsources

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes.IftheoperationsoftheVirginiaDistributionCenter (VDC)wereeliminated,theDepar tmentofGeneralServiceswouldrequireanadditional appropriationof\$10.9million.Thisadditionalappropriationwouldinclude\$781,043forthe paymentofseverancebenefitsforthecenter's25employeesand\$10.1millionforrepayment ofatreasurylo anauthorizedfortheconstructionofarecentlycompletedwarehouse complex.However,ifthewarehousecomplexweresoldasaresultoftheVDC's elimination,thisadditionalappropriationcouldbereducedbyanyproceedsfromthatsale.
- 8. Fiscalim plications: According to the Department of General Services, the elimination of the Virginia Distribution Center (VDC) would require the agency to lay off the facility's 25 employees, require an additional appropriation to repay the treasury loan used to construct the agency's newwarehouse complex, require Virginia Industries for the Blind to either terminate certain business lines or seek an appropriation to continue those lines, and increase the total costs of food, janitorial, and other supplies for the facility's former clients. In the face of recent budget reductions, an increase in the cost of the supplies the seen tities use could have a significant impact on their operations.

Asmentionedpreviously, eliminating VDC will result in the termination nof 25 state employees. These employees are entitled to these verance benefits established in the Workforce Transition Act (WTA). The Department of General Services' human resources section has calculated that the total cost of these severance benefits is \$781,043. Although

VDCcurrentlycollectsrevenuefrombothgeneralfundandnongeneralfundsourcesfromits salesoffood, janitorial, and other supplies to generalfund, nongeneralfund, and mixed -fund entities, these severance costs would be absorb ed by the generalfund, because, without the salest ogenerate revenue, DGS would have noother source of funds to support these severance costs.

Theagencywouldalsorequireageneralfundappropriationtorepaythetreasuryloanthe General Assemb lyapproved for the construction of its newwarehouse complex. Currently, thistreasuryloanistoberepaidwithinterestfromtheoperatingbalancesgeneratedby VDC'soperations. However, if VDC's operations are eliminated, there would be no revenue to support the repayment of the treasury loan. Consequently, the agency would require an additionalgeneralfundappropriationtopayoffthetreasuryloan's remaining balance, which equals\$10.1million.(ThistreasuryloanwasoriginallyapprovedinCha pter924bythe1997 SessionoftheGeneralAssembly, and subsequently amended in Chapter 899, approved by the 1998 Session of the General Assembly.) However, then eed for this additional appropriationcouldbeoffsettosomedegreebyanyproceedscollec tedfromthesaleofthe warehousecomplex as surplus property. Although the warehouse complex has not been appraised, the agency is doubtful that its sale would recover the full \$12.5 million in constructioncostsforit. Theagency bases this beliefup onitsneedtoinstallmanyfeatures. likeafoamfiresuppressionsystem, that are not usually included inwarehouse space.

VirginiaIndustriesfortheBlind(VIB)sellsnumerousitemstostateagenciesandother customersthroughVDC. These items include:latexandothertypesofgloves,spices,mops, andmophandles.DuringFY2002,salesoftheseitemsthroughVDCtotaled\$740,152.If VDCwereeliminated,however,VIBwouldberequiredtoeithercreateitsownmarketing departmenttopromotet hesalesofitsproductstoformerVDCcustomersoreliminatethe jobsof5.50blindworkerswhoarecurrentlyresponsibleforpackagingitemsforlaterresale by VDC. These employees represent about 5.3 percent of the blindworkers employed by this quasi-stateentity. Topreserve its currents ales and these 5.50 positions, VIB has reported thatitwouldneedtohireamarketingassistant, at an estimated cost of \$18,000, and pay \$83,850inestimatedshippingcostscurrentlyabsorbedbyVDC.Intotal,th esetwocosts representa\$101,850annualincreaseintheVIB'son -goingexpenses. These additional on goingexpenseswouldrepresentasignificantdetrimenttothisquasi -stateentity's continued operation.

AnotherpossibleimpactassociatedwithVDC' selimination could be an increase in the costs of the food, janitorial, and other supplies purchased by the central warehouse's current customers. In FY2003, DGS staff conducted a market basket analysis of those items for which VDC purchased \$100,000 or more in product quantities. This analysis, which involved contacting private sector vendors who sold the sego od sandrequesting price quotes from them for the items based upon the average volumes purchased by VDC customers, indicated that aggregating the secustomers' to talde mand produced savings of 31.65 percent when compared to the prices charged by the private sector. Consequently, if it is assumed that customers' to tal VDC purchases in FY2002 remained constant for both FY2004 and FY2005, then the elimination of VDC's operations could cost those customers about \$10.1 million in FY2004 and \$10.5 million in FY2005. And, if it were assumed that the Commonwealth's expenditures are roughly evenly divided between general fund and nongeneral fund source s, then purchasing food, janitorial, and other supplies from sources

otherthanthe VDC would result in additional general fund costs of \$5.1 million in FY 2004 and \$5.2 million in FY 2005.

 $In summary, the impact of this legislation totals \$15.9 millio \qquad n from the general fund (absent any proceeds from the sale of the warehouse complex as surplus property) and \$5.1 million from nongeneral funds our cesin FY 2004, and \$5.2 million from the general fund and \$5.2 million from nongeneral funds our cesin FY 2005 costs are likely to continue — increasing over time for the costs of inflation. \\$

- 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected: Thisproposalwouldaffectseveralstate agencies, including the Departments of Correction and Mental Health, Mental Retardation, and Substance Abuse Services; numerous public institutions of higher education, including the Virginia Community College System; an umber of regional jails; and numerous localities. In the Virginia Distribution Center's (VDC) absence, these entities would be required to pay more for the food, janitorial, and other supplies they formerly purchased from the central warehouse. In addition, the proposal would affect the Virginia Industries for the Blind. In VDC's absence, this agency would either lose the business lines that VDC previously supported or before edtoservice those accounts itself.
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.
- **11. Othercomments:** The Joint Legislative Auditand Re view Commission, inits January 2001 report, "Review of the Virginia Distribution Center," concluded, "[R] eview of comparative pricing datashowed that VDC is generally able to provide products to [the State's institutional users] at lower cost than privat esector vendors. Therefore, it appears reasonable to continue operation of the VDC at this time."

Date: 01/17/03/PXV

Document: G:\2003legislation\Hb1592.Doc

cc:SecretaryofAdministration