DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	• нв1495			
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	Amundson			
3.0	Committee	Education			
4.	Title Education; funding for the state Standards of Quality.				
5.	Summary/Purpose: This bill provides that not with standing any other provision of law, the Common wealth shall fund at least 55 percent of the total actual costs of public education. The Standards of Ouglity and the formula succed by the Common wealth to distribute fund at a second success of the standards o				
	The Standards of Quality and the formula sused by the Common we although the fundation of the Standards of Quality and the formula sused by the Common we although the fundation of the Standards of Quality and the formula sused by the Common we although the fundation of the Standards of Quality and the formula sused by the Common we although the Standards of Quality and the formula sused by the Common we although the Standards of Quality and Standards of Qualit				

suchrequirement.Beginningwiththebudgetforfiscalyear2005,theGovernorshallinclude

inhisbudgetrecommendationsadequatefundstomeettherequirementsofthisbill.

andrevisedbienniallytomeet

- 6. FiscalImpact(SeeComments7.)
- **7. Budgetamdmentnecessary** :No,nofiscalimpactuntilFY2005.

localitiestomeettheStandardsofQualityshallbereviewed

8. Fiscalimplications: Tomeetthe 55 percentactual coststandard, it is estimated that an additional general fundappropriations of \$657 million would be needed in FY2005. This estimated is derived using the following methodology.

UsingthemostrecentlyavailablesummaryinformationfromtheAnnualSchoolReport (ASR),totaloperationalexpendituresbyschooldivisions(excludingfederalfundsbecause onlystateandlocalrevenueshouldbefactore dintothe55percentcalculation)were\$8.1 billionin2000 -2001.Fifty -fivepercentofthisamountis\$4.5billion.Assuminga2percent inflationrate,thebaseamountof\$8.1billionisinflatedeveryyearbetween2002and2005to deriveaprojected schooldivisionexpenditurefigureineachyear.The55percentshareis thencalculatedagainsttheseprojectedbasesandcomparedagainststateappropriations containedinthelatestbudgetsforFY2002,FY2003,andFY2004.ForFY2005,budget growthof 2percentovertheFY2004amountisforecasted.

Sincethisbillintroducesastandardthatusesactualcostsasthebasisforthe55percent calculation,amethodologywouldhavetobedeterminedastohowtheseactualcostswould beappropriatelymeasur ed.Currently,schooldivisionsreportASRexpendituredataaftera fiscalyearends,whichmakesthedeterminationofactualcostsinacurrentfiscalyear difficulttoestimate.Inaddition,thebilldoesnotspecifywhetherpastexpendituresare specificallytobeusedindeterminingthe55percentshare.

Thefiscalimpactofthisbilldiffersfromthatprovidedinthe 2002 session. In the 2002 session, a fiscalimpactof between \$200 to \$300 million was forecast for fiscal years 2002, 2003, and 2004. Current projections, based on 2000 -2001 ASR data, forecast a funding need of \$657 million in FY 2005. During the 2002 session, 1999 -2000 expenditured a tawere used as the bases for all calculations. For the 2003 session, 2000 -2001 data were used, and a shift in the state/local expenditure ratio results in the increase inforecast ed costs.

	TotalExpended	CalculatedState Share(55%)	State Expenditures/ Appropriations	Difference
2001	8,157,434,837	4,486,589,160	3,832,667 ,023	(653,922,137)
2002	8,320,583,534	4,576,320,944	3,938,992,518	(637,328,426)
2003*	8,486,995,204	4,667,847,362	3,993,844,546	(674,002,817)
2004*	8,656,735,109	4,761,204,310	4,116,744,880	(644,459,430)
2005**	8,829,869,811	4,856,428,396	4,199,079,777	(657,348,619)

- **9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:** localschooldivisions,Departmentof Education
- 10. Technicalamendmetnecessary: No.
- 11. Othercomments: This billisidentical to legislation introduced during the 2002 session.

Date: 01/13/03/acd

Document:H: \bos\k12legislation \2003session \completedbills \1495.doc

cc:SecretaryofEducation Secretary ofFinance