

**Department of Planning and Budget
2003 Fiscal Impact Statement**

1. Bill Number HB1449 enrolled

House of Origin	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduced	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input type="checkbox"/> Engrossed
Second House	<input type="checkbox"/> In Committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Substitute	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enrolled

2. Patron Hall

3. Committee Passed both houses

4. Title Compensation and expenses of members of collegial bodies.

5. Summary/Purpose:

Clarifies that the collegial body or the agency that provides support for the work of the collegial body is ultimately responsible for the payment of the compensation and expenses of the members of the collegial body. The bill also clarifies that any payment by the Clerk of the House or the Clerk of the Senate to their respective members for service on a collegial body will be reimbursed by the collegial body or the supporting agency.
This bill is identical to SB706.

6. Fiscal Impact.

To the extent that the bill would require any agency to support compensation and expenses for collegial body members where it does not currently support these expenses, the bill would have the impact of increasing costs for that agency. The exact fiscal impact is indeterminate.

At least one agency indicates that the bill would require it to provide financial support for a collegial body that it does not now support. The Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) reports that the bill would require it to provide financial support to the Virginia Roanoke River Basin Advisory Committee. Assuming the committee meets six times annually, and that all members attend each meeting, the costs to DEQ are estimated at \$32,400 annually. (This estimate assumes per diem payments of \$200 for legislative members and \$50 for others, \$150 per meeting for meals, and \$100 per person per meeting for travel and lodging.)

Also, to the extent that the General Assembly now supports the compensation and expenses of its members who serve on collegial bodies, this bill would have the impact of reducing costs for the General Assembly while increasing costs for the collegial bodies and agencies that support collegial bodies. The exact fiscal impact is indeterminate.

7. Budget amendment necessary: No

8. Fiscal implications: None

9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected: Any collegial body or agency that supports a collegial body

10. Technical amendment necessary: None

11. Othercomments: None

Date: 2/20/03vrm

Document: g:\2003legislation \other\hb1449er.doc VRM

cc:SecretaryofAdministration