## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB	1399					
	HouseofOrigin	n [	Introduc	eed	Substitu	te	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	[	InCo mr	mittee	Substitu	te	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	Janis						
3.Committee		CourtsofJustice						
4.	Title	Bloodsamplestakenfromperson's accused of DUI.						
5.	<b>Summary/Purpose:</b> Theintroducedle gislationproposestoamend§§18.2 -268.6,18.2-268.7oftheCodeofVirginiatoeliminatethesecondsampleavailabletotheaccusedfor analysisbyanindependentlaboratoryforpersonsarrestedforDUI.							
6.	NoFiscalImpact: Seeitem8.							
7.	Budgetamend mentnecessary: No.							
8.	Fiscalimplications: According to the Department of Criminal Justice Services this bill would have no fiscal impact on the agency. In fact it would free up the time of toxicologists in the Division of Forensic Science. Presently , DCJS has toxicologists test if ying in court when there are differences in DUI/drug reports from DFS and the secondary lab. This legislation would eliminate the second sample and thus eliminate the need to discussor explain any differences.  The agency advises that the vast majority of differences are well within the tolerances acceptable to chemical analysis. However it appears that the absence of a second sample could be used to question the quality of the sample and the validity of the test. If that occi it may lead to additional court costs in some trials. However, it is impossible to estimate the potential costs associated with such actions.							
9.	Specificage: Services	ncyor	politicalsu	ıbdivi	sionsaffecte	ed:	DepartmentofCriminalJustice	
10.	Technicalar	nendr	nentneces	sary:	None.			
11.	Othercomm	ents:	Thisbillis	ssimila	artoHB2049	•		
	<b>te:</b> 01/15/03/1 <b>cument:</b> G:\Fi			Michael	lMcMahon			

cc:Secretar yofPublicSafety