DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2003FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· HB1393		
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCo mmittee	Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Lingamfelter		
3.0	Committee	GeneralLaws		

VirginiaTruthinFeesReportAct.

5. Summary/Purpose:

4. Title

VirginiaTruthinFeesRepor tAct.RequirestheComptrollertopostonthewebsitefortheDepartment Accountsthefollowing:(i)nolaterthanOctober1ofeachyear,thetotalamountofeachfeecollectedby theCommonwealthforthemostrecentsix -monthperiodendingJune30,an d(ii)nolaterthanApril1of eachyear,thetotalamountofeachfeecollectedbytheCommonwealthforthemostrecentsix -month periodendingDecember30.TheComptrollershallincludeintheinformationpostedtheamountofany suchfeethatwasused foranypurposeotherthanthepurposeoriginallyestablishedinlawforsuchfee.

Currently, revenues are tracked using revenues our cecodes in the statewide accounting system. In many cases, multiple fees are currently recorded and reported in summary under a single revenue sour cecode. For administrative efficiency, each individual fee is not assigned its own unique revenue sour cecode. The current level of reporting meets budget ary and financial (GAAP) reporting requirements. There are already over 1,600 revenues our cecodes currently in use. Expanding the chart of account stocreate a financial reporting mechanism for individual fees would require the addition of a huge, but currently unknown, number of additional codes. To provide this additional level of detail, agency administrative burden and costs associated with applying more detailed coding and data entering additional lines of coding for deposit documents would increase. Statewide deposit transaction processing volume in FY02 stood at 1. 7 million lines of coding. The set ransactions were processed at an estimated direct and indirect cost of \$10-20 million. This billis conservatively estimated to double this number of transaction lines at a cost of an additional \$2-3 million.

Currently, feerevenues are collected and deposited to the State Treasury and expenditures are made pursuant to agency appropriation sapproved by the General Assembly. Agencies are responsible for ensuring appropriations are spentinac cordance with their purpose. Although the reis not always a direct correlation between fee collections and subsequent expenditure of feerevenue, some assurance of fee and appropriation sus ecompliance may already be provided through APA compliance audits. Reported non compliance ould be posted on the internet. If the bill implies additional compliance testing, additional resources would be required, potentially ranging from an agency certification of fee use process (modest cost) to programa udits (high costs).

6. FiscalImpactEs timates:

Expenditures:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2002-03			
2003-04	\$2-3million	0	
2004-05	\$2-3million		

\$2-3millionperfiscalyear.

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes.
- **8. Fiscalimplications:** Thisbillisdifficulttoenactaswr ittenandwouldcauseagreatamountof additionaleffortbyagenciescodingthetransactionsandforDOAtodevelopthecapabilityto accumulatethisinformation.Oncethisinformationisavailablethereisnoproblempostingthison theDOAweb -sitein atimelymanner.Seeitem5forfurtherfiscalimpact.
- **9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:** DepartmentofAccounts;Departmentof PlanningandBudget;AllagenciesoftheCommonwealththatcollectrevenues.

10. Technicalamendmentne cessary:

11. Other comments:

Date: 01/13/03/JWF

Document: (DOA:Adminsec/Dir/2003Legislation/fisHB1393)

SecretaryofFinance