## VIRGINIARETIREMENTSYSTEM 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB1048		
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Darner		
3.0	Committee	Appropriations		

Retirement:teachers.

## 5. Summary/Purpose:

4. Title

Thisbillchangesaconditionunderwhichretiredteachersare permittedtoretu rntoteachingwithoutinterruptingtheirretirementbenefits specifyingthatsuchretireesmusthavebeenreceivingretirementbenefitsfora periodofatleast30daysbeforereturningtoateachingposition.Current lawdirectstheJointLegislativean dAuditReviewCommissionandtheBoardof TrusteesoftheVirginiaRetirementSystemtodeterminetheperiodoftimefor eligibilitythataretireemusthavereceivedretirementbenefitsbefore returningtoteach.Thatperiodwasdeterminedtobeoneyea r.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:

6a. ExpenditureImpact:

2/10011a1ta101111pa	Ct.		
FiscalYear	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Positions</b>	Fund
2001-02			
2002-03			
2003-04	\$6.8million		TeacherStateShare
	\$9.4million		TeacherLocalShare

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes. Item 511.
- 8. Fiscalimpl ications: The bills that were passed in the 2001 Session required the breakin service to be of a time duration such that the bill be actuarially neutralin cost and that the provision not place the IRS qualification of the VRS in jeopardy. IRS has not suedspecific guidelines on what constitutes an acceptable breakin service. VRS sought the advice of benefits counsel relative to the latter requirement. Counselopined that as ix to twelvemonth breakin service was more likely to receive IRS approval than as horter break. Shorter breaks in service will also affect retirement patterns and increase costs.
- 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisions affected: VRS and public school boards.
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:No
- 11. Othercomments: ThisbillissimilartoHB1137.

**Date:** 01/22/02/wgh **Document:**hb1048