## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	r SB563
	HouseofOrigi	in Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	SecondHouse	InCom mittee Substitute Enrolled
2.	Patron	Bolling
3.Committee		Finance

EnvironmentalStewardshipBondActof2002

**4. Title** EnvironmentalStewardshir

**5.Summary/Purpose:** Thebillprovides, subject to a pprovalof the voters, for the issuance of \$488,495,075 in general obligation bonds under the provisions of Article X, Section 9(b) of the Constitution of Virginiato finance avariety of projects. The bill provides for five categories of capital projects :(1)\$96,974,000 for biological nutrient removal at sewage treatment facilities, (2)\$9,850,000 for rehabilitation of dams owned by soil and water conservation districts, (3)\$25,300,000 to provide matching fund grants for combined sewer overflow, (4)\$15 6,371,075 for the renovation, acquisition and development of capital projects at state parks, and (5)\$200,000,000 for the acquisition of permanent interests in real estate for conservation purposes. Nobond scan be issued for a category of projects can only be issued if the aggregate principal amount of \$96,974,000 has been issued to finance category 1 projects).

## 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesareFinal:

6a.	ExpenditureImp	act:(TreasuryBoard	-DebtService)			
	FiscalYear	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund		
	2001-02	\$0	0.00	-		
	2002-03	\$0	0.00	-		
	2003-04	\$12,140,000	0.00	GF		
6b.ExpenditureImpact:(StateBoardofElections)						
	FiscalYear	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund		
	2001-02	\$0	-	-		
	2002-03	\$85,000	-	GF		
	2003-04	\$0	-	-		

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes,Item92forStateBoardofElectionsandItem296for TreasuryBoard.
- 8. Fiscalimplications: Theestimateddebtserviceimpactisbasedonthefollowingissuance schedule:

Jan.200 3- \$97million -category1projects

SB563FiscalImpactStatement PageTwo

> Jan.2004 -\$35million -category2&3projects Jan.2005 -\$156million -category4projects Jan.2006 -\$200million -category5projects. Undertheseassumptions, principalandinterestpaymentswouldbeginDecember2003. Onceallthebondshavebeenissued,debtservicewouldpeakin2008at\$47.4million.

Sincemostoftheprojectsarenon -statefacilitiesandbondproceedswouldbeallocatedby grants, it woul dbeincumbenton the Common wealth to provide some degree of oversight on the expenditure and administration of the funds. This is important not only to ensure the proceeds are expended for the purpose for which they we reauthorized, but also to ensure compliance with federal tax law. This responsibility will likely rest with several different agencies (e.g., Department of Environmental Quality, Department of Conservation and Recreation, State Water Control Board, etc.). The costs associated with that ov ersight is not available.

For the November 2002 general election, the State Board of Elections would incur costs of an estimated \$85,000 for printing informational materials and for advertising the ballot initiative.

- **9.** Specificagencyorpoliticalsub divisionsaffected: DepartmentoftheTreasury,Treasury Board,DepartmentofEnvironmentalQuality,DepartmentofConservationandRecreation, StateWaterControlBoard,VirginiaLandConservationFoundation,OfficeofFarmland Preservation,andtheSta teBoardofElections.
- **10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:** Yes,foreachcategoryofprojectsanymovementof allocationsbetweenprojectsshouldbedeterminedbythe"Governororhisdesignee,"notthe agencyheadorotherentityasdesignatedinthebi ll.Thiswouldmakethebillconsistentwith previousgeneralobligationbondbills.
- **11. Othercomments:** BondcounselfortheTreasuryBoardisconcernedwiththemultiple purposes included in this bill. ArticleX, Section9(b) of the Constitution of Virginia provides that "such lawshall specify capital projects constituting as ingle purpose..."This bill appears to roll three distinct purposes (environmental/water projects, state park capital projects, and acquisition of land interests) into a single bill.

Inthepastgeneralobligationbondshavenotbeenusedtofinancegrants,non -stateprojects andlandinterests.

Also, it appears that the purchase of permanent interests in land will be carried out by localities using the bond proceeds. Since the Common we althwould not own the land, there may be no publicaccess/public use of the project.

SB563FiscalImpactStatement PageThree

The language requires that bonds can be issued for Category 2 projects only if the aggregate principal amount of \$96,974,000 has been issued to fin an cethe cost of Category 1 projects. It is possible that another source of funding could be identified, that a project does not meet grant requirements, or that the full amount may not be needed for a given category. Such an event could effectively block issuing for the next category.

HB1220isacompanionbillintroducedbyDelegateAlbo.

Date: 01/31/02/mst Document: G:\GaSessions \2002Session \Fis\Sb563.Doc

cc:S ecretaryofFinance cc:SecretaryofNaturalResources cc:SecretaryofAdministration