DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· SB537
	HouseofOrigin	n 🔀 Introduced 🔲 Substitute 🔲 Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled
2.	Patron	Mims
3.0	Committee	Finance
4.	Title	Childdependencycases:appointmentofcounsel.

5. Summary/Purpose:

Affordstherightofcounseltoaparentor guardianofachildwhoisallegedtobeabusedor neglectedoratriskofabuseorneglect,regardlessofwhethertheparentorguardianhasbeen chargedwithabuseorneglect.Iftheidentityorlocationofaparentorguardianisnotreasonably ascertainableorifaparentorguardianfailstoappearatoneofthespecifiedhearings,thecourt shallconsiderappointinganattorney -at-lawtorepresenttheinterestsofthisabsentparty,andthe hearingmaybeheld.Forproceedingsrelatedtoachild's placementinfostercare,thecourtis requiredtoconsiderappointingcounseltorepresentthechild'sparentorguardian.Thecourt currentlyhasdiscretiontoappointcounselforthesehearings.Existinglawisalsoamendedto matchthecurrentpracti ceofappointmentofaguardianadlitemforachildwhoisthesubjectofa petitionfiledinthejuvenilecourtinconnectionwithaparentalplacementadoptionconsent hearing

- 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:Preliminary,SeeItem8.
- 7. Budgetamendme ntnecessary:No

8. Fiscalimplications:

According to the Supreme Court, the provision that extends the right of court appointed counsel to the parents or guardians of a child which is alleged to be abused or neglected or a trisk of a buse or neglectwill have fiscal implications to the Criminal Fund, but it is impossible to determine to what extent. In fiscal year 2001 there were 4,605 cases that would have been affected by this proposal. A survey of Juvenile and Domestic Relations Courts indicates hat a large majority of the courts are currently appointing counselint hese cases. However, for the purposes of this analysis, if 10 percent of the cases required appointment this provision would have a fiscal impact of \$51,250. Although the remaining rovisions of this legislation could result in additional counsel appointments, they are expected to have negligible fiscal impact.

10. Technicala mendmentnecessary:No

11. Othercomments:None

Date: 02/02/00/TMB

Document:F: \tmb\leg02\sb537