# **DepartmentofPlanningandBudget** 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· SB251
	HouseofOrigi	n Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	SecondHouse	InCom mittee Substitute Enrolled
2.	Patron	Hawkins
3.(	Committee	PassedBothHouses
4.	Title	Allocationofurbansystemhighwayconstructionfunds.

# 5. Summary/Purpose:

RevisesthreeCodesect ionsofthelawconcerningurbansystemhighwayconstruction, street paymentstocities and certain towns, and matching funds required of certain localities. The ributed purpose of the changes is to bring greater stability and uniformity to the way funds are distto towns with relatively small populations. This bill makes the criteria for all three sections the same.

# 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesareFinal.

# 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.

### 8. Fiscalimplications:

AccordingtotheDepartmentofTrans portation, under current legislation, it is possible for a town toreach3,500inpopulationandbeincludedintheurbansystem.However,ifthetown's populationdroppedbelow3,500, it is not legally eligible to receive allocations of urban constructionfunds. This bill should correct this legal technicality. There does not appear to be a fiscalimpactresultingfromthesechanges.

#### 9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected: Currently there are 80 cities and towns

eligibleforanurban constructionallocation

# 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.

# 11. Othercomments: None.

**Date:** 02/18/02/jlm **Document:**( D G:\Leg02\SB251ER.DOC)

cc:SecretaryofTransportation