## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	. BillNumber HB91				
	HouseofOrigin	Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron Wo	elch			
3.	Committee Ag	Committee Agriculture, Chesapeakeand Natural Resources			
4.	<b>Title</b> Dr	e Dredgingprojectcompensatorymitigation			
5.	Summary/Purpose: The bill would allow the State Water Control Board tore quire a demonstration of financial responsibility for the completion of compensatory mitigation requirements for dredging projects located intidal waters. Financial responsibility could be demonstrated by a letter of credit, a certificate of deposit, or a performance bond. If the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers require sade monstration of financial responsibility for the completion of compensatory mitigation required for a particular project, then the mechanism and amount approved by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers must be used to meet this requirement. The bill also directs the State Water Control Board to promulgate the necessary regulations to be effective within 280 days of enactment.				
6.	Fiscalimpact: S	Fiscalimpact: SeeItem8.			
7.	Budgetamendmentnecessary: No.				
8.	$\textbf{Fiscalimplications:} \ The rewould be no significant costs to the Department of Environmental Quality associated with implementation of this legislation, and any additional costs could be funded from existing resources.$				
9.	Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected: Department of Environmental Quality				
10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: No.					
11. Othercomments: This billisidentical to the substitute version of SB 327.					
	ate:01/28/02/mar ocument: G:\Legis\2	2002\Fis\Hb91h1.Doc			
cc:	cc:SecretaryofNaturalResources				