DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

ı.	BillNumber	HB756	
	HouseofOrigin	n 🔀 Introduced 🔲 Substitute	Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee ☐ Substitute	Enrolled
2.	Patron	Amundson	
3.0	Committee	Appropriations	
4.	Title	Verifiedend -of-coursetests.	

- 5. Summary/Purpose:Thisbillrequiresschoolboardstoprovideprogramsofprevention, intervention, andremediationforstudentsfailinganend -of-coursetestrequiredfortheawardof aver ifiedunitofcreditandrequiresthesestudentstoparticipateinsuchprevention, intervention, andremediation. Schooldivisions are to add the sest udents to their existing remediation report requirements. Corresponding amendments address the authority of superintendents to enforce compulsory attendance requirements for the sest udents.
- 6. FiscalImpact:(See8.)
- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:No.
- 8. Fiscalimplications: Thisbillrequiresschoolboardstoprovideprogramsofprevention, intervention, andremediation for students failing an end -of-course tests required for the award of averified unit of credit needed for graduation and requires the sest udents to participate in such prevention, intervention, and remediation. School divisions are to a dd these students to their existing remediation report requirements. Corresponding amendments address the authority of superintendents to enforce compulsory attendance requirements for these students. It appears that students failing end -of-course tests required for the award of a verified unit of credit for graduation would also be required to attend summers chool or receives ome other form of remediation. Verified units of credit are only applicable for courses for high school credit.

Currently,Standa rdIoftheStandardsofQuality(SOQ)providesthatstatefunding,pursuant totheAppropriationAct,shallbeprovidedforcertainfulltimeequivalentinstructional positionspereach1,000studentsingradesK -12estimatedtoscoreinthebottomnation al quartileontheVSAPtestsandthosewhofailtheliteracytestsorStandardsofLearning (SOL)assessmentsforgradesthree,five,andeightprescribedbytheBoardofEducation. Statefundingisalsoprovidedforremedialsummerschool,usingaformu lathatmultipliesa per-pupil-amountbyatwo -yearenrollmentaverage.

The provisions of this bill would not change the SOQ remedial funding formula. However, this bill could impact the amount of funding provided to localities from the state for the remedial summers chool program. The fiscal impact cannot be determined and would

dependup on the number of students impacted by this bill and required to enroll in local remedial summers chool programs. Current standards are that, for every 18 students, 20 hours of summers chool instruction are needed. In addition, localities may incur additional transportation costs for an increase d number of remedial summers tudents.

Thisbillcouldimpactlocalschooldivisions. Undertheprovisions of thisbill, the would have increased reporting requirements to the Board of Education and would be required to identify students at -risk of failing SOL tests and develop and implement prevention, intervention, or remediation programs for students affected by the provisions of this bill. Since the number of affected students is not known, these costs cannot be quantified. It is not known whether locals chool divisions would be able to obtain the teachers necessary for the additional remediation requirements out in edinthis bill.

Verifiedunitsofcreditbegantobeearnedforhighschoolstudentsenteringninthgradefor thefirsttimeinschoolyear 2000 - 2001. HB30, as introduced, contains language regarding the state's share of Remedial Summer School Program s. Fundsare made available to school divisions for program operation and no high school credit may be awarded to students who participate in this program.

- 9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected: School divisions, Board of Education
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:No.
- 11. Othercomments: None.

Date: 2/01/02/acd

Document:H: \BOS\K12Legislation \2002Session \CompletedBills \HB756.doc.

cc:SecretaryofEducation SecretaryofFinance