DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB715
	HouseofOrigi	n 🖾 Introduced 🔲 Substitute 🔲 Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCom mittee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled
2.	Patron	Armstrong
3.C	ommittee	HouseTransportation
4.	Title	Economic incentive grants by Comm. Transportation Board.
5. Summary/Purpose: Providesforuse bytheCommonwealthTransportationBoard(CTB)aportionoftheindustrial/airportaccessroad fundtosupporteconomicincentiveprogramsbycounties,cities,towns,andlicensedpublic -useairportsbyproviding ameanstofundaccessroadstopubliclyow nedindustrialparksandlicensedpublic -useairports.Incaseswherethe Boardhasrecapturedfundsfromcounties,cites,andtownsbetweenJuly1,1994,andJune30,2002,theBoardis requiredtoreturntothoselocalitiestheamountsrecaptured.Wher eacounty,city,town,orlicensedpublic -use airporthasanactiveoutstandinggrantundertheindustrialaccessroadbondedprogram,suchgrantswillbereissued underthetermsoftheeconomicincentivegrantprogramestablishedinthebill.		
6.	FiscalImpactl	Estimatesarepreliminary.
7.	Budgetamend	Imentnecessary: Tobedetermined.
8. Fiscalimplications: The existing bond program provides funds to the localities based on actual expenditures made for constructing the road. The proposed grant program indicates providing funds directly to the county initially. According to the Department of Transportation, this bill converts all existing bonded projects to grants, which could result in an immediate cash impact on their department and, in particula r, to industrial access projects.		
In addition, the bill provides that funds that we rerecovered by the department, because an industrial orair port tenant has not located on the site, would be remitted back to the localities.		
According to the department, this bill could have a fiscal impact of about \$3 million in fiscal year 2003, and \$1.5 million in fiscal year 2004. The estimate is based on about \$2.1 million recovered between July 1, 1994 and to day, with seven projects that have bonds expiring bet ween now and June 30, 2002, which may require recovery of another \$1 to 1.5 million based on existing guidelines that would be refunded under the grant proposal. Overall, there is about \$5 million set as idee a chyear for this program. Given this, if the board remits back to the counties, cities, and towns the funds that we rerecaptured, then this could impact on funds available for other industrial access projects. In addition, if the funds are to be replaced, then a budget a mendment may be required.		
9. tow	Specific ageno	cy or political subdivisions affected: The Department of Transportation and counties, cities, rports.
10.	Technicalame	endmentnecessary: No.
11.	Othercommer	nts: Pleaseseesimilarbills:SB26;SB43;and,HB4 33.
Date: 01/20/02/jlm Document: (D G:\Leg02\HB715.DOC)		

` ' ' '

cc:SecretaryofTransportation