DepartmentofMotorVehicles 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	· HB638				
	HouseofOrigi	n Introduced Substitute Engrossed				
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommitte e ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled				
2.	Patron	O'Brien				
3.0	Committee	HouseTransportation				
4.	Title	Driver'slicenses, commercial driver's licenses, etc.				

5. Summary/Purpose:

Driver'slicenses,commercialdriver'slicenses,temporarydriver'spermits,learner's permits, motorcyclelearner's permits, and special identification cards. This bill, as engrossed,representsanamendmentinthenatureofasubstituteandamendsVa.Code§§ 46.2-105.2,46.2-308,46.2-323,46.2-324,46.2-330,46.2-334,46.2-341.11,46.2-341.15, 46.2-342,46.2-343,46.2-345an daddsacodesectionnumbered46.2 -203.2.Thebillwould requireapplicantsfororiginal(butnotrenewal)driver'slicenses,commercialdriver'slicenses, temporarydriver'spermits, learner'spermits, motorcyclelearner'spermits, and special identification cards, (DMV credentials) after July 1,2002, to submit proof of their name, date ofbirth, Virginiaresidency, and either U.S. citizenship or lawful presence in the United States. The bill provides that immigration visas and signed written statements (certifications) arenotacceptableasproofofresidency. Allapplicants for original documents must appear in personaftertheeffectivedateofthelegislationinordertoobtainoriginalDMVdocuments butcitizensandpermanentimmigrantsareexempte dfromthisrequirementonceaDMV document/credentialhasbeenissuedunderthenewstandards.Temporaryimmigrantswillbe requiredtoappearinpersonandsatisfythenewrequirementsineveryinstanceinwhicha DMVcredentialissought. The validity periodoftheDMVcredentialwillbetiedtothe duration of the bearer's legal presence. Placement of an indicator on the license designating theholderasapermanentimmigrantortemporaryimmigrantwillberequired. Existing DMV credentialholdersar egrandfatheredandwouldnotberequiredtosatisfytheforegoing requirements.DMVwillberequiredtosuspendaDMVcredentialifthebearer'spresenceno longerconformstofederallawand, unless awaiver is granted, is prohibited from issuing a credentialtoapersonwhoselegalpresenceisduetoexpireinlessthanoneyear. The bill providesthatnon -Virginiaaddressesmaynotbeonthefaceofadriver'slicense, special identificationcardorcommercialdriver'slicense.andthatDMVmustcance ladriver'slicense orcommercialdriver'slicenseiftheholderchangestheirresidentialaddresstoanon -Virginia address.IssuanceofaVirginiaspecialidentificationcardtoanindividualwhoholdsany otherDMVcredentialwillbeprohibited.The billeliminatestheoptionofobtainingduplicate DMVdriver's licenses and learner's permits and requires persons whose driver's license has beenlost, destroyedors to lentorenew the license. The billincreases the classification for an offenseinvolvi ngunlawfullyobtaining,possessingoraidingapersoninobtainingor possessingaDMV -document(exceptincasesinvolvinganoffensecommittedforthepurpose ofengaginginanyage -limitedactivity)fromaClass2misdemeanortoaClass6felony.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: **PRELIMINARY**

6a.	ExpenditureImpact:			
	FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
	2001-02	\$597,378		Special
	2002-03	\$200,000		Special
	2003-04	\$200,000		Special

6b.	Revenuel	Impact
6b.	Revenue	lmpact

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02 2002-03	(\$722,871)*		Special
2003-04	(\$28,690)** (\$722,871)* (\$28,690)**		Special

^{*}RepresentsImpacttoDMV

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:Unknown
- 8. Fiscalimplications:Thefiscalimpli cationsofthislegislationareuncertain.DMV programmingcostsnecessarytoimplementthisbillareestimatedtobe\$397,378.Itisalso estimatedthatannualcoststotrainDMVpersonnelregardingimmigrationlawandINS documentationwillbeapproxim ately\$200,000.
- 9. Specificagencyorpolitical subdivisions affected: DMV
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: Yes
- 11. Othercomments: This bill now incorporates various aspects of HB638, HB14 and HB415 and would require applicants for original (no trenewal) DMV credential stosatis fy a new prerequisite—proof of legal presence. In addition, individuals who are not citizens and who do not have permanent legal presence would be prohibited from renewing documents by alternative renewal methods (internet, mail, touchtone, fax). Hence, HB638 could potentially impact customers ervicelevels at DMV by increasing the number of applicants visiting customers ervice enters and by adding to the complexity associated with issuing original DMV credentials after rJuly 1,2002. Hence, delays in customers ervice may be anticipated and DMV may require additional staffing in order to implement this legislation.

Prohibitionagainsttheissuanceofspecialidentificationcardstopersonsthatholdanother DMVcred entialwillresultinanannualrevenuelossofapproximately\$451,027.

Theprohibitionagainstnon -Virginiaaddressesonthefaceofadriver'slicense,commercial driver'slicenseorspecialidentificationcardcouldresultinthenon -renewalofallor someof thesecredentials. It is not clear whether all persons who currently have non -Virginia addresses displayed on DMV credentials would be unable to provide DMV with abonafide Virginia addresses. Assuming that all DMV credentials currently is sued with non-Virginia addresses could not be renewed, DMV would lose approximately \$271,844, while the Department of Education (which receives \$1.50 for every license is sued by DMV) would lose approximately \$28,690, annually.

The fiscal impact associated with elimination of duplicates and the requirement that a credential berenewed upon loss, destruction or the fto fthe original cannot reasonably be

^{**}RepresentsImpacttoDepartmentofEducation

estimated. Depending upon the point in acredential's life at which it is lost, etc..., there venue received from issuance of a duplicate (\$5.00) vs. there venue that would be received for renewal (\$2.40/year) of the credential could represent a reduction or gain in revenue. Programming costs set for thin item 8 include the cost of reprogramming DMV's systems for elimination of duplicates, \$96,000.

Date: 02/18/02/jam

Document:filenamehere(llsdata/2002legislation/session/DPBFiscalImpactStatements/HB638H1.doc)

cc:SecretaryofTransportation