# DepartmentofMotorVehicles 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	• НВ638
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommitte e ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled
2.	Patron	O'Brien
3.0	Committee	Conference
4.	Title	Driver'slicenses,commercialdriver'slicenses,etc.

#### 5. Summary/Purpose:

**Driver'slicenses,etc:** Providesthatobtaining,aidinginobtaining,orpossessingaVirginiadriver'slicense,special identificationc ard,vehicleregistration,certificateoftitleorotherdocumentissuedbytheDepartmentofMotor Vehicles(DMV)constitutesaClass2misdemeanoriftheviolationinvolvedobtainingorpossessionofthedocument forpurposesofengaginginanage -limitedactivity(suchasobtaining,possessing,orconsumingalcoholicbeverages) butconstitutesaClass6felonyiftheviolationwascommittedforotherpurposes.Thebillalsoallowspersons movingtoVirginiafromanotherstateorcountrysixtydays(inste adofthirtydays)toobtainVirginiadriver'slicenses.

The bill prohibits the use of immigration visas and written statements (whether notarized or not) where in another person "vouches" for the Virginiare sidency of an applicant for a Virginia driver's license, commercial driver's license, temporary driver's permit, learner's permit, motor cycle learner's permit, or special identification card. For applicants less than nine teen years old, however, proof that the applicant's parent or guardian is a Virgin iare sident may be accepted as evidence of the applicant's Virginiare sidency.

Withcertainexceptions(mostnotablyformilitarypersonnelstationedoutside Virginiaandpersonsresidingoutside of Virginiainconnection with their employment), the bill requires DMV to cancel the Virginia driver's licenses, commercial driver's license, and special identification cards or persons who change their address to a non-virginia address.

Under this legislation, no person who holds a Virginia driver's license, commercial driver's license, temporary driver's permit, learner's permit, or motor cycle learner's permit would be allowed to hold a special identification car dissued by DMV.

ThelegislationrequirestheDMVCommissioner,nolaterthanDecember1,2002, toreporttotheChairmenofthe HouseandSenateTransportationCommitteesregardingtheneedforfurthermodificationorenhancementtothe identityandresidencyrequirementsintheapplicationprocessforVirginiadriver'slicenses,commercialdriver's licenses,learner'spermits,temporarydriver'spermitsandspecialidentificationcards. Thereportspecificallymust includeanassessmentofthefeasibilityandeffectivenessofrequiringapplicantsforDMVdocumentstoprovetheir legalpresenceinth eUnitedStates.

Thisbillincorporates HB14 and HB415 and is the same as SB162.

### 6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: **PRELIMINARY**

## 6a. ExpenditureImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund
2001-02	\$556,474		NGF
2002-03	\$200,000		NGF
2003-04	\$20 0,000		NGF

#### 6b. RevenueImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	<b>Positions</b>	Fund
2001-02			
2002-03	(\$722,871)*		NGF
	(\$28,690)**		
2003-04	(\$722,871)*		NGF
	(\$28.690)**		

<sup>\*</sup>RepresentsImpacttoDMV

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:Unknown
- 8. Fiscalimplications:Thefiscalimplicationsofthislegislationareuncertain.DMV programmingcostsnecessarytoimplementthisbillareestimatedtobe\$356,474.Itisalso estimatedthatannualcoststotrainDMV personnelregardingdocumentationandthenew requirementswillbeapproximately\$200,000.
- 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:DMV
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: Yes
- 11. Othercomments:

Prohibitionagainsttheissuanceofsp ecialidentificationcardstopersonsthatholdanother DMVcredentialwillresultinanannualrevenuelossofapproximately\$451,027.

Theprohibitionagainstnon -Virginiaaddressesonthefaceofadriver'slicense,commercial driver'slicenseorspeci alidentificationcardcouldresultinthenon -renewalofallorsomeof thesecredentials. Itisnotclearwhetherallpersonswhocurrentlyhavenon -Virginia addressesdisplayedonDMVcredentialswouldbeunabletoprovideDMVwithabonafide Virginiaaddress. AssumingthatallDMVcredentialscurrentlyissuedwithnon -Virginia addressescouldnotberenewed, DMVwouldloseapproximately\$271,844inrevenues, while the Department of Education (which receives \$1.50 for every license is sued by DMV) would loseapproximately \$28,690 in revenues, annually.

**Date:** 03/15/02/jam

**Document:**filenamehere( llsdata/2002legislation/session/DPBFiscalImpactStatements/HB638ER.doc )

cc:SecretaryofTransportation

<sup>\*\*</sup>RepresentsImpacttoDepartmentofEducation