## DepartmentofMotorVehicles 2002FiscalImpactStatement

		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1.	BillNumber	• НВ638						
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced Substitute	Engrossed					
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommitt ee ☐ Substitute	Enrolled					
2.	Patron	O'Brien						
<b>3.C</b>	Committee	PassedBothHouses						
4.	Title	Driver'slicenses,commercialdriver'slicer	nses,etc.					
5. Summary/Purpose:  Driver'slicenses,etc: Prov idesthatobtaining, aidinginobtaining, or possessing a Virginia driver's license, special identification card, vehicle registration, certificate of title or other document is sued by the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) constitutes a Class 2 misdemean riftheviolation involved obtaining or possession of the document for purposes of engaging in an age -limited activity (such as obtaining, possessing, or consuming alcoholic beverages) but constitutes a Class of elony if the violation was committed for other purposes. The bill also allows persons moving to Virginia from an other state or country sixty days (instead of thirty days) to obtain Virginia driver's licenses.								
The bill prohibits the use of immigration visas and written statements (whether notarize dornot) where in another person "vouches" for the Virginiare sidency of an applicant for a Virginia driver's license, commercial driver's license, temporary driver's permit, learner's permit, motor cycle learner's permit, or special identification card. Fo applicant sless than nine teen years old, however, proof that the applicant 's parent or guardianis a Virginiare sident may be accepted a sevidence of the applicant's Virginiare sidency.								
ofV con	irginiainconnec	ons(mostnotablyformilitarypersonnelstati one tionwiththeiremployment),thebillrequiresDMVto license,andspecialidentificationcardsorpersonswh	<u> </u>					

Underthislegislation,nopersonwhoholdsaVirginiadriver'slicense,commercialdriver'slicense,temporarydriver's permit,learner'spermit,ormotorcyclelearner'spermitwouldbeallowedtoholdaspecialidentif icationcardissued byDMV.

The legislation requires the DMV Commissioner, no later than December 1,2002, to report to the Chairmen of the House and Senate Transportation Committees regarding the need for further modification or enhancement to the identity and residency requirements in the application process for Virginia driver's licenses, commercial driver's licenses, learner's permits, temporary driver's permits and special identification cards. The report specifically must include an assessment of the efeasibility and effectiveness of requiring applicants for DMV documents to prove their legal presence in the United States.

This bill incorporates HB14 and HB415 and is the same as SB162.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare: Final

## 6a. ExpenditureIm pact:

FiscalYear	<b>Dollars</b>	<b>Positions</b>	Fund
2001-02	\$556,474		NGF
2002-03	\$93,984		NGF
2003-04	\$93,984		NGF

6b. RevenueImpact:

- Caracan Parent					
FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund		
2001-02					
2002-03	(\$722,871)*		NGF		
	(\$28,690)**				
2003-04	(\$722,871)*		NGF		
	(\$28 ,690)**				

<sup>\*</sup>RepresentsImpacttoDMV

- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:No.Theagencywilluseexistingresourcestoimplementthe activitiescreatedbythisbill.
- 8. Fiscalimplications:Thefiscal implicationsofthislegislationareuncertain.DMV programmingcostsnecessarytoimplementthisbillareestimatedtobe\$356,474.Itisalso estimatedthatannualcoststotrainDMVpersonnelregardingdocumentationandthenew requirementswillbeap proximately\$200,000.
- 9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:DMV
- 10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: Yes
- 11. Othercomments:

Prohibitionagainst theis suance of special identification cards to personst hat hold another DMV credential will result in an annual revenueloss of approximately \$451,027. In addition, DMV will experience are duction in annual expenditures of approximately \$81,184.

The prohibition against non - Virginia addresses on the face of a driver's license, commercial driver's license or special identification card could result in the non - renewal of all or some of these credentials. It is not clear whether all persons who currently have non addresses displayed on DMV credentials would be unable to provide DMV with a bonafide Virginia address.

AssumingthatallDMVcredentialscurrentlyissuedwithnon -Virginiaaddressescouldnotbe renewed,DMVwouldloseapproximately\$271,844inrevenues,whiletheDepartmentof Education(whichreceives\$1.50forevery licenseissuedbyDMV)wouldloseapproximately\$28,690inrevenues,annually.Inaddition,DMVwillexperienceareductioninannual expendituresofapproximately\$24,830.

**Date:** 3/28/02gdj

**Document:** G:\02Le gislation\HB638ER.Doc

cc:SecretaryofTransportation

<sup>\*\*</sup>RepresentsImpacttoDepartmentofEducation