

Department of Planning and Budget

2002 Fiscal Impact Statement

1. Bill Number HB605

House of Origin ☐ Introduced ☒ Substitute ☐ Engrossed
Second House ☐ In Committee ☐ Substitute ☐ Enrolled

2. Patron Marshall, D.W.

3. Committee Education

4. Title Institute for Advanced Learning and Research.

5. Summary/Purpose:

Create the Institute for Advanced Learning and Research in Southside Virginia to be founded by Averett University, Danville Community College, and Virginia Tech. The Institute is to be created as a political subdivision of the Commonwealth, and is to be funded through such funds as are appropriated or made available for this purpose. The Institute will provide for adult and continuing education, workforce training and development, and degree-granting programs, including undergraduate, graduate and professional programs. A nine-member board of trustees consisting of institutional and citizen members will govern the Institute. The Governor, the Senate Committee on Privileges and Elections and the Speaker of the House will each appoint one trustee. The board may appoint an executive director, seek additional staff support from its founding institutions, and apply for, accept, and expend gifts, grants or donations from public or private sources, including state appropriations.

6. No Fiscal Impact.

7. Budget amendment necessary: No.
Funding for this bill is contingent upon an appropriation to support the program.

8. Fiscal implications:

The legislation specifies that the Institute shall be created with such funds as are appropriated or made available for this purpose. The fiscal impact of the legislation depends on the scope of the Institute, and the extent to which it becomes state-supported. Currently two higher education centers with similar missions exist in the Commonwealth: the Roanoke Higher Education Center and the Southwest Virginia Higher Education Center.

9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected:

Virginia Tech, Danville Community College, City of Danville, Pittsylvania County.

10. Technical amendment necessary: none.

11. Other comments:

From a policy perspective, this proposal should be evaluated in light of the State Council of Higher Education's System-wide Master Plan.

Date: 2/1/02/jeh

Document: G:\2002Legislation\HB605H1.DOC

cc: Secretary of Education