## DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB568
	HouseofOrigin	Introduced Substitute Engrossed
	SecondHouse	InCom mittee Substitute Enrolled
2.	<b>Patron</b>	Byron

3.Committee CommerceandLabor

4. Title Workers'compensation;disallowanceofcompensation.

5. Summary/Purpose:Thisproposalclarifiesthat whenanemployeeisinjuredandisfoundto eitherhavehadabloodalcohollevelequaltoorgreaterthanthelegalstandardorhavebeen testedpositiveforthepresenceofnonprescriptioncontrolledsubstancesatthetimeofa workplaceaccident,then itistheemployee'sresponsibilitytoprovide"clearandconvincing" evidencethathisinjuryisnottheresultofintoxication.Currently,ifanemployeeisfoundtobe intoxicatedatthetimeofaworkplaceaccident,thatemployeeisnoteligibleforw orkers' compensationbenefits.Thisproposalshiftstheburdenofprooffromtheemployer,whowas previouslyresponsiblefordemonstratingthattheemployeewasintoxicatedatthetimeofthe accident,totheemployee.

- 6. FiscalImpactisINDETERMINAT E.
- 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary:No.

8. Fiscalimplications: Although the fiscalimplications of this bill cannot be determined, it could reduce the defense costs and payments that would otherwise have been incurred by the constraint of the second secWorkers'CompensationProgr ammanagedbytheDepartmentofHumanResourceManagement. According to the staff of the Workers' Compensation Program, under existing statute, the employermustobtainstatements from an injured employee's attending physician or atoxicologist indicating thatthepersonwasintoxicated atthetime of the accident, that the injured employee's agility, coordination, judgment, and memory would have been severely impaired, and that the accident could have been prevented if the employee had not been intoxicated.Staffstatethis proposalwould reduce a employer's costs for obtaining this material by shifting the responsibility forrebuttingthepresumptionaboutintoxicationtotheemployee.Inaddition,staffreportthat workers' compensation claimspaid toe mployeeswhowereintoxicatedatthetimeofaworkplace accidentwould decrease, because the employee would now be responsible for proving he was not accident would be a subscriptly on the subscriptly of the subscriptlyintoxicatedratherthattheCommonwealthresponsibleforprovingthattheemployeewas intoxicated.Howe ver,thetotalreductionindefensecostsorclaimspaidtoemployeesinsuch situationscannotbedetermined.Finally,itshouldbenotedthatallemployerscouldenjoysimilar costreductionstothosetheWorkers'CompensationProgramstaffexpect.

9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected:Allemployers,including the Commonwealth,wouldbeaffected by this proposal.

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary:None.

11. Othercomments: This proposal standardizes the laboratory requirement ts for testing whether an injure demployee's blood alcohollevel exceeds the legal requirement or whether they have been abusing nonprescription, controlled substances. This could be nefitemployees who find themselves in a possible intoxication - related work place accident.

Date: 02/27/02/PXV Document: G:\2002Legislation\Housebills\HB568.Doc

cc:SecretaryofAdministration