DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	er HB218			
	HouseofOrigin	n Introduced	Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	☐ InCommittee	Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	O'Bannon			
3.0	Committee	PassedBothHouses			
1 .	Title	SeniorCitizensHigher	rEducationAct.		

5. Summary/Purpose:

Thisbillisalsoreferredto astheSeniorCitizensHigherEducationAct.Thebillincreasesthe incomeeligibilitythresholdfrom\$10,000to\$15,000,andprohibitsthechargingofanyfeefor therequestforthebenefitsoftheSeniorCitizensHigherEducationAct.

6. FiscalImpact Estimatesare:Final

6a. ExpenditureImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02			
2002-03	\$1.5million		GF
2003-04	\$1.5million		GF

6b. RevenueImpact:

FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02			
2002-03	(\$1.5million)		NGF
2003-04	(\$1.5million)		NGF

- 7. **Budgetamendmentnecessary**: Yes. However, the General Assembly did not choose to replace the foregone nongeneral fundrevenue with general fund dollars; thus, with passage of this bill, the higher education institutions would have to absorb the costs of the sepotential students.
- **8. Fiscalimplications:** Currentlytheinstitutionsmustcoverthecosts,fromexisting appropriations,foranyseniorcitizenwhoparticipatesintheprogram. Attachedisatablethat showsthefall1998h eadcountnumberofindividualsovertheageof60attendingthestate supportedinstitutionsandanestimateofthecoststheinstitutionswouldhavetocoverifallof thoseindividualsreceivedbenefitsundertheprogram. Thisdatadoesnotdistinguish amongst thetaxableincomelevelsoftheseindividualsoverage60. Soitislikelythatmanyofthe personsover60andhavinganincomenotexceeding\$15,000arealreadyapartofthese numbers.

Also, it is assumed that not all of the individuals over the age of 60 are actually participating in the program and not paying tuition. Therefore, the actual amount of current loss to the institutions could be less than the amount stated on the table (\$1.5 million). However, this

couldbeoffsetbyhowmany moreeligibleseniorcitizenswouldenrollifgivenahigher taxableincomecap, which could result in additional lost revenue to the higher education institutions. Thus, this analysis assumes roughly a \$1.5 million impactantually.

9. Specific agency or political subdivisions affected: State-supported institutions of higher education

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: None

11. Othercomments: None

Date: 03/14/02/sle

Document:g: \sle\02FIS\HB218ER.doc

cc:SecretaryofEducation

Institutions	ResidentFull - TimeStudents	Est.Revenue FromFull -Time	ResidentPart - TimeStudents	Estimated RevenueFrom	TotalResident StudentsAge60	TotalEstimated Revenuef rom
	Age60andOver	Students	Age60andOver	Part-Time	andOver(1)	Resident
	(1)		(1)	Students		Students
GMU	7	\$28,932	91	\$192,018	98	\$220,950
ODU	7	\$28,912	45	\$117,936	52	\$146,848
UVA	4	\$18,878	44	\$139,348	48	\$158,226
VCU	5	\$19,460	53	\$136,206	58	\$155,666
VPI	4	\$19,800	16	\$48,400	20	\$68,200
W&M	1	\$5,282	7	\$11,280	8	\$16,562
CNU	2	\$6,096	10	\$15,517	12	\$21,613
CVC	0	\$0	7	\$8,407	7	\$8,407
JMU	2	\$7,852	17	\$20,292	19	\$28,144
LC	1	\$3,924	0	\$0	1	\$3,924
MWC	0	\$0	10	\$12,120	10	\$12,120
NSU	5	\$15,115	14	\$22,392	19	\$37,507
RU	6	\$17,322	19	\$32,398	25	\$49,720
VMI	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
VSU	6	\$18,516	22	\$32,976	28	\$51,492
RBC	0	\$0	4	\$3,120	4	\$3,120
VCCS	39	\$45,201	1,067	\$494,490	1,106	\$539,691
TOTAL	89	\$235,290	1,426	\$1,286,901	1,515	\$1,522,191

⁽¹⁾Basedonfall1998headcountenrollment.

⁽²⁾ Estimate is based on part - time students taking two, three hour courses persemester (fall and spring).