DepartmentofPlanningandBudget 2002FiscalImpactStatement

1.	BillNumber	HB1319		
	HouseofOrigi	n 🖂 Introduced 🗌 Substitute	Engrossed	
	SecondHouse	InC ommittee Substitute	Enrolled	
2.	Patron	Christian		
3.0	Committee	Health,Welfare&Institutions		

4. Title PrescriptionDrugPaymentAssistanceProgram.

5. Summary/Purpose:

Thisbillestab lishesaprogramtobeadministeredbytheDepartmentofMedicalAssistance Services(DMAS),modeledonDelaware'sPrescriptionDrugPaymentAssistanceProgram,to assisteligibleelderlyanddisabledVirginiansinpayingfortheirprescriptiondrugs.Pay ment assistancewillnotbepermittedtoexceed\$2,500perpersonperyear.DMASwillbeableto contractwiththird -partyadministratorstoprovideadministrativeservicesthatinclude enrollment,outreach,eligibilitydetermination,datacollection,pre miumpaymentand collection,financialoversightandreporting.Thebenefitislimitedtoprescriptiondrugs manufacturedbypharmaceuticalcompaniesthatagreetoprovidemanufacturerrebates.

Eligibleindividualsmusthaveincomesatorbelow150per centofthefederalpovertylevel (FPL)orhaveprescriptiondrugexpensesthatexceed40percentoftheirannualincome,asset forthintheAppropriationsAct.Theseindividualsmustalsobeage65orolder,oreligiblefor federalOldAge,Survivorsan dDisabilityInsuranceBenefits,notbereceivingaprescription drugbenefitthroughaMedicaresupplementalpolicyorotherthird -partypayorprescription benefitasofJuly1,2002,andbeineligibleforMedicaidprescriptionbenefits.However, nothingshallprohibittheenrollmentofapersonintheprogramduringtheperiodinwhichhis orherMedicaideligibilityisdetermined.

Enrolleeswillreceiveanidentificationcardtobepresentedtopharmacistsandwillstart receivingthebenefitthemon thaftertheireligibilityisdetermined.Thecardshallconformto administrativestandardsdevelopedandpublishedbytheNationalCouncilforPrescription CardPrograms.Benefitswillbepaidtopharmaciesunderapoint -of-serviceclaimsprocedure to beestablishedbyDMAS.Therewillbeaco -paymentforeachprescription,whichin generalwillnotexceed25percentofthecost,butnotlessthanfivedollars.Alllicensed pharmacistsshallbeallowedtoparticipateintheprogramsolongastheprov ideriswillingto abidebythetermsandconditionstheBoardofMedicalAssistanceServices(BMAS) establishestoparticipate.

MoneytopaytheclaimswouldcomefromthenewlyestablishedPrescriptionAssistance Fund,whichwouldbefinancedby10p ercentoftheannualproceedsreceivedbythe CommonwealthundertheMasterTobaccoSettlementAgreementandanyfederalfunds availableforthispurpose.Administrativecostsaretobepaidfromthepharmaceutical manufacturerrebatestotheextentavail ableandthe\$20annualenrollmentfees. BMASshalldevelopacomprehensivestatewidecommunity -basedoutreachplantoenroll eligiblepersonsandDMASshallreportannuallyontheprogram'simplementation.No entitlementtoprescriptiondrugcoverage onthepartofanyeligiblepersonoranyrightor entitlementtoparticipationiscreatedandsuchcoverageshallonlybeavailabletotheextent thatfundsareavailable.

6. FiscalImpactEstimatesare:Preliminary

6a. ExpenditureImpact:(seeSection8)

Item322,Subprog	ram47901		
FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02	\$0	0.0	GF
2001-02	\$0	0.0	NGF
2002-03	\$3,235,912	2.0	GF
2002-03	\$0	0.0	NGF
2003-04	\$2,902,583	2.0	GF
2003-04	\$0	0.0	NGF
Item322,Subprog	ram47902		
FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02	\$0	0.0	GF
2001-02	\$0	0.0	NGF
2002-03	\$94,955	0.0	GF
2002-03	\$0	0.0	NGF
2003-04	\$77,708	0.0	GF
2003-04	\$0	0.0	NGF
Item328,Subprog	ram46400		
FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02	\$0	0.0	GF
2001-02	\$0	0.0	NGF
2002-03	\$14,765,505	0.0	GF
2002-03	\$0	0.0	NGF
2003-04	\$12,996,444	0.0	GF
2003-04	\$0	0.0	NGF
TotalDepartment	ofMedicalAssistanc	eServices	
FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund
2001-02	\$0	0.0	GF
2001-02	\$0	0.0	NGF
2002-03	\$18,096,371	2.0	GF
2002-03	\$0	0.0	NGF
2003-04	\$15,976,735	2.0	GF
2003-04	\$0	0.0	NGF

Note: The difference between last year's fiscal impact estimates and the current onesis due to two reasons. First, last year's bill mandated that five percent of the Master Settlement Agreement (MSA) funding beap plied to this program in FY 2002 and 10 percent be applied in FY 2003. This year's bill requires 10 percent funding for each year of the 2002 -2004 biennium. Second, the estimated MSA amounts have changed since last year's fiscal impact estimates.

6b.	b. RevenueImpact:(seeRevenueunderSection8)					
	FiscalYear	Dollars	Positions	Fund		
	2001-02	\$0	0.0	GF		
	2001-02	\$0	0.0	NGF		
	2002-03	\$3,056,094	0.0	GF		
	2002-03	\$0	0.0	NGF		
	2003-04	\$2,678,984	0.0	GF		
	2003-04	\$0	0.0	NGF		

 7. Budgetamendmentnecessary: Yes,Item3 22,Subprograms47901and47902;Item328, Subprogram46400(Duetothenon wouldhavetobecreated.)
Yes,Item3 22,Subprograms47901and47902;Item328, -Medicaidnatureofthisprogram,anewsubprogram

8. Fiscalimplications:

AdministrativeandSupportServices

DMASproposestoimplementtheprogramasa Medicaidlook -alikeprogramusingthe MedicaidManagementInformationSystem(MMIS).Inaddition,theagencyfeelsthattheco paymentamountshouldbethesameaswhatiscurrentlybeingpaidbyMedicaidrecipients. DMASplansonmanuallytrackingthe annualbenefitamounttoensurethatthemaximumper personreimbursementrateisnotexceeded.Itbelievesthatbyimplementingtheprogramin thisway,theprogramcanproceedwithoutrequiringextensivetimeandexpenseneededto modifybothitscurren tandnewMedicaidManagementInformationSystems(MMIS).

DMASestimatesthatsomesystemsworkwillberequiredtocreateaneweligibilitycodeon thesystemandensurethatthebenefitsfortheseindividualsarelimitedtopharmacyclaims. Theestimat edcostofimplementingthesystemschangesinFY2003isapproximately \$100,000(GF).Inaddition,theagencyestimates\$13,328(GF)instart -upsupportcostsinFY 2003.However,implementationofanewprescriptionassistanceprogramduringthetestin g phaseofthenewMMISwouldcreateproblems.Thevendorisintheprocessofendingits systemstesting.DMAShasbegunitsuseacceptancetesting.Ifadditionalmodificationsof thecurrentMMISwererequiredtoimplementthisprogram,theeffectwou ldbeincreased costtothenewsystemandfurtherimplementationdelays.

Besidessystemsdevelopmentcosts, therewillalsobeclaimsprocessing costs. The fiscal agent currently charges DMAS\$.3618 perprocessed claim. The total claims processing cost is dependent upon the number of individuals covered under the program. Based on the enrollment estimates for this proposed program, DMAS estimates claims processing costs of \$94,955 in FY2003 and \$77,708 in FY2004. DMAS estimates approximately 28.7 7 claims per recipient in FY2003 and 28.61 claims in FY2004 based on the estimated cost perfull year enrollee, divided by DMAS' average payment per recipient for the Medicaid "over 65" population, which is \$56.26 in FY2003 and \$60.51 in FY2004. These average payment per recipient estimates result from subtracting as 5 drug co -payment that is on the current system from DMAS' for ecast edaverage cost of pharmacy claims.

Thebillrequires that an annual enrollment fee of \$20 be collected from each person enrolled to cover aportion of the administrative costs. The agency feels that this collection requirement and the other demands placed upon its staffare such that sufficient attention cannot be paid to the implementation and daily operation of the program. DMAS estimates that in order to sufficiently monitor the program, it would require two additional positions: a Band 5, Program Special ist III and Band 4, Administrative and Program Special ist. The cost of the sepositions with benefits is \$1 22,583 (GF) per year.

ThebillgivesDMAStheoptiontocontractouttheoperationoftheprogram.Theagency estimatesthatifitweretocontractouttheoperationthroughastand -alonesystem,itwould costapproximately\$4.3millioninone -timedevelopmentcosts.Becausethisisastate sponsoredprogram,thefundingwouldallbeingeneralfunddollars.Ifthefederal governmenteverimplementedanationwideprescriptionprogram,Virginiamaybeableto recoupsomeofitsinvestment.Inaddi tiontoone -timecosts,DMASestimatesthatastand alonesystemwouldcostapproximately\$2.49perenrolleepermonthinclaimsprocessing costs.However,theseestimatesarebasedoninformationprovidedtoDMASbyaprivate contractorthatprovidesthi sservicetootherstates.GiventheuncertaintyofDMAS' exercisingthisoption,thesecostsarenotincludedinthisbill'sfiscalimpactestimates.

Inaddition,DMASacknowledgesthattheDepartmentofSocialServices(DSS)would performtheeligibili tyworkforthisprogram.DMASwouldhavetoestablishamemorandum ofunderstanding(MOU)definingDSS'roleintheprogramandthereimbursementitwould receivefortherenderedservices.DMASestimatesthatthereimbursementcostcouldbeas highas \$20perapplicant.Thisestimateisbasedonoperationsexperiencewithsimilar programsinthepast.

Althoughthefundingwouldlimitthenumberofindividualsserved, that would not stopevery individual in the potential population from applying. The estimated annual cost for DSS to perform the eligibility determination for this program would be approximately \$2.8 million; assuming that approximately 139,000 applications were processed each year. Given that eligible individuals would either have to have incomes at or below 150 percent of the FPL or have prescription drugs expenses that exceed 40 percent of his annual income, annual determinations would be necessary. In addition, DSS would need \$220,000 in FY2003 for one-time costs, including adjustment of the Application Benefit Delivery Automation Project (ADAPT).

Revenue

The \$20 enrollment fee will cover a portion of the administrative costs. Based up on the assumed enrollment level, DMAS expects \$182, 463 in enrollment feerevenue in FY2003 and \$150, 165 in enrollment feerevenue in FY2004.

DMASbelievesthatitwillbedifficulttoestimatetheimpactoftherebateproposalofthis bill,whichitfeelsisunlikelytoproducesignificantrevenueinthisprogram.TheMedicaid programisaf ederalmandateandpharmaceuticalcompaniesparticipatinginMedicaidare requiredtoparticipateinthisprogram.Therebateprogramproposedinthisbillwouldnotbe afederalmandate.DMASestimatesthatitwouldhaveamoredifficulttimeoperating itwith noguaranteeofrebates.Theagencycurrentlyrecoversapproximately19.4percentofgross pharmacyexpendituresundertheMedicaidprogram.

Whilesomestates, such as Connecticut, have been successful implementing pharmaceutical manufacturerreb at estinstate only programs, others have not. However, DMAS believes that if it were able to receive the same level of rebates realized under Medicaid, collections would be approximately \$2.9 million in FY 2003 and \$2.5 million in FY 2004. DMAS asserts these estimates are best - cases cenario estimates.

hat

Thisbillpromotes a prescription payment program currently in operation in Delaware. During the 2001 Session of the General Assembly, DMAS contacted people in Delaware to discuss the success of the pharmaceutical rebate part of the irprogram. Delaware verbally reported to DMAS that after more than a year of operation, the program had yield edlimited rebates.

Theprobleminthosestateswhichareattemptingtoestablishin -state-onlyrebateprogra ms appearstobethattheagenciesthatareresponsibleforimplementingandoperatingthe programshavebeengivelittlelegalauthoritytoenforcecompliancefromtheparticipating pharmaceuticalcompanies.AtleastundertheMedicaidprogram,thepharm aceutical companiesrealizethatiftheywishtoparticipateinthestates'Medicaidprograms,theymust alsoagreetoparticipateinMedicaid'spharmaceuticalrebateprogram.Ifstateswishtheirin state-onlyrebateprogramstowork,theymustprovides ufficientauthoritytotheresponsible agenciestoenforcecompliancefromtheparticipatingpharmaceuticalcompanies.Otherwise, theycannotexpecttheprogramstogeneratesubstantialrevenues.

MedicalAssistanceServices(Non -Medicaid)

Censusdata indicatesthatthereisbetween170,000and190,000Virginiansoverage65ator below150percentofthefederalpovertyguidelines.Thereareapproximately60,000 Medicaid-eligibleindividualsovertheageof65whowouldnotbeeligibleforthepropos program.Inaddition,thereareapproximately29,000agedanddisabledlow -income individualswhoareeligibletoreceivesomeMedicaidbenefits,butwhodonotreceive coverageforpharmacyprescriptionsthroughMedicaid.DMASestimatesthatthetota 1 potentialpopulationforthisprogramcouldbebetween139,000and159,000individuals.

Asthebillindicates,itwillbeuptotheBMAStodevelopastatewidecommunity outreachplantoenrolleligibleindividuals.However,sincethisinnotan likeMedicaid,enrollmentwillbelimitedtoavailablefunding.Giventhisnon status,DMASwillenrollindividualsonafirst -come,first -servedbasis.

Theestimatedcostperrecipientis\$1,618perfullyearenrolleefor FY2003.Thiscostis basedoninformationbasedoninformationfromotherstatesthatshowanaveragecostof approximately\$1,400perfullyearenrolleeinsimilarprograms.DMAStookthe\$1,400 figureandinflateditbytheforecastedgrowthinpharm acycostperunitfromFY2001toFY 2003.Thecostperrecipientwasinflatedto\$1,731forFY2004.Basedontheestimated amountVirginiawillreceivefromthemastertobaccosettlementagreement,DMASbelieves thattheprogramwillcover9,123recipi entsinFY2003and7,508inFY2004.

TobaccoSettlementFunding

The TobaccoSettlementfunding issue is under consideration in the General Assembly. The way the current Settlementfunding is drafted, 50 percent of the available funds would go to the Tob accoIndemnification and Community Revitalization Endowment and 10 percent would be allocated to the Virginia Tobacco Settlement Endowment. This leaves approximately 40 percent (the state's allocation) for undesignated purposes. However, currently HB 650 requires that up to 40 percent of the state's allocation of the Tobacco Settlement Fund in good be used to support the Education and Economic Development Trust Fund.

9. Specificagencyorpoliticalsubdivisionsaffected: DMASandDSS

10. Technicalamendmentnecessary: Duetothebill'simpactonthenewMMISproject,DMAS recommendsthattheeffectivedatebedelayedtoJuly1,2003.

11. Othercomments: Themajorissuewiththisbillisthatitdependsonalimitedfunding source.Althoughth ereisnowaytopredictwhenorifthisfundingsourcemaycease, there is alwaysthepotentialthatthesituation with the tobaccocompanies could change, thus reducing orpossibly eliminating these settlement funds. An even larger concernis what to do withthe individuals who become dependent up on this program when the funding source does change. WouldtheCommonwealthremoveindividualswhoarealreadyintheprogram?Inaddition, if the Tobacco Settlement funding we reeliminated, would the Common we althendthe program, scale it back, or make it as tate wide program, thus opening it up to the entire potentialpopulation?Thepotentialcostofastatewideprogramcouldexceed\$200million pervear.Ofcourse,thelossofsettlementfundswouldmeant hatthisprogramwouldhaveto besupported by stategeneral funds, if the program we reexpected to continue. In that case, costcontainmentmeasuresincluding, but not limited to, the use of a formulary, the introductionofaWholesaleAcquisitionCosto rtheredefinitionoftheUsualandCustomary reimbursementratesshouldbeconsidered.

Thisbillisthecompanion to HB104 and SB192. It has been continued to 2003 in the House Committee on Health, Welfare and Institutions.

Date: 01/29/0 2/sas Document: g:\sas\02gasession \02bills \dpbfiss\hb1319.doc

cc:SecretaryofHealthandHumanResources